



## Reviewers Guidelines

Peer review plays an important role in the academic growth of reviewers by helping them stay current with emerging research and inspiring their own scholarly work. The Journal of Research, Innovation and Development (JRID) uses a double-blind peer review process for all submissions. In this system, both the reviewer and the author remain anonymous, which provides several key benefits:

- It minimizes potential bias from the reviewer regarding the author's gender, nationality, academic rank, or publication record.
- Papers are judged purely on their merit, regardless of the author's reputation or prominence.
- It enhances the overall quality of published articles.
- It ensures proper credit is given to earlier work.
- It helps detect plagiarism and other unethical practices.

### **Before agreeing to review a manuscript, reviewers should confirm:**

- The topic falls within their field of expertise.
- They can complete the review within the allotted time (typically 3–4 weeks for (JRID)).
- They understand the journal's editorial policies. If this information is not supplied by the editor, they should ask for it.

### **Review Process and Assessment:**

When evaluating a manuscript, reviewers should:

- Share their overall impressions of the article.
- Determine whether the manuscript complies with the journal's editorial standards.
- Keep all comments objective, evidence-based, and free of speculation.
- Write in clear, concise, and well-structured paragraphs.
- Immediately notify the editor if they suspect plagiarism, research misconduct, or ethical breaches.
- Provide detailed feedback on key sections: abstract, introduction, methodology, results and discussion, conclusion, and references.
- Evaluate the manuscript on specific criteria, including originality and creativity, relevance to the journal's readership, significance and practical value, depth of knowledge and contribution to the field, methodological rigor, comprehensiveness,

language quality (grammar, spelling, punctuation), and the adequacy and relevance of references, tables, figures, and captions.

- Clearly outline the manuscript's strengths, weaknesses, and any additional suggestions for the author.

### **Final Recommendation:**

Reviewers should assign an overall score and recommend one of the following decisions:

- Accept as is
- Publish after minor revisions
- Publish after major revisions
- Reject

Reviewers will be provided with a link to the official **Reviewer's Report form**. After submitting the completed report to the Editorial Team, the journal will make a final decision on the manuscript and inform the author.

### **Editorial Contact**

Journal of Research, Innovation and Development (JRID)

Directorate of Research, Innovation and Development

Federal Polytechnic Nekede

Owerri, Imo State

Nigeria

Email: [ijrid@gmail.com](mailto:ijrid@gmail.com), [rid@gmail.com](mailto:rid@gmail.com)

Website: [www.ijrid@fpno.edu.ng](http://www.ijrid@fpno.edu.ng)

Telephone: +2348063138383